# A Distributed Particle Filter Implementation for Tracking in a Wireless Sensor Network

#### Jesse Read, Katrin Achutegui, Joaquín Míguez

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid.



July 2, 2013

### Initially: A Particle Filter in a WSN ?



The IMOTE2 ( $\approx$  13 MHz) ...

- sensing nodes J takes sensor measurements
- central processing node P



not enough processing power!

# Previously: A Distributed Particle Filter in a WSN



The IMOTE2 ( $\approx 13N$  MHz) ...

- sensing node J takes sensor measurements
- N processing nodes P



• It worked! Tracking (a person) in an indoor scenario, accuracy of 0.5*m*, using light sensor readings; Extremely efficient, but ...



Further development is limited by

- CPU / network / battery limitations; and
- $\bullet$  difficulty involved with working with  $T{\rm INY}OS.$

# Solution: A Hierarchical WSN

- Dedicated sensing nodes (J) TELOSB, low spec
  - $\bullet$  basic  $T{\rm INYOS}$  sensor-program, just send sensor readings
- Dedicated processing nodes (P) PANDABOARD, 1.2 GHz, LINUX, standard WIFI connection
  - ample processing, and high-bandwidth
  - development in any language (e.g., PYTHON)







#### New Framework: Distributed Particle Filter



• Each PF shares sensor observations / particles with other nodes to form a DPF

- Define layout
- 2 Define target function
- Optime observation function

Then connect Ps to each other in a loop; algorithm begins.

# 1. Define Layout: layout.py



For example ...

- $3.6 \times 7.2$  metres, indoors
- single light source (a window)
- 10 TELOSB motes



How the target/particles move.

$$\mathbf{x}_t \sim p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$$

- $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4$  (2D position and velocity)
- 2.0m/s max speed, 0.1m/s min speed
- change angle randomly (and at the scenario boundary)

### 3. Define Observation Function: observation.py

Weight each particle according to observations.

 $w = \rho(\mathbf{y}_t | \mathbf{x}_t)$ 

- binary observations  $y_{j,t} \in \{0,1\}$  for each sensor j at time t
- detection zone Z<sub>j</sub>: area between sensor j and light source(s)



FPR / FNR: false positive / negative rate

# A Distributed Particle Filter

*N* Processing Elements (PE), running in parallel, each with *M* particles; At each timestep *t*, each PE n = 1, ..., N:

- receive a particle  $\mathbf{x}_{i,t} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_{i,t}^{(n-1)}$  from PE n-1
- **2** read observation  $\mathbf{y}_t$  from sensors
- for all its particles  $m = 1, \ldots, M$ :
  - **1**  $\mathbf{x}_{m,t} \sim p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{x}_{m,t-1})$  move
  - 2  $w_{m,t} \leftarrow p(\mathbf{y}_t | \mathbf{x}_{m,t})$  weight
- resample particles
- $i \leftarrow \text{index of best particle (highest weight)}$
- send particle  $\mathbf{x}_{i,t}$  to PE n+1



#### VIDEO: deploying, configuring and running a WSN for tracking

## Simulation Results

Adding more sensors . . .



Now: ≤ 0.2m accuracy; ≈ 0.05 seconds per timestep.
Before: ≈ 0.5m accuracy; 1.00 seconds per timestep

J. Read, K.Achutegui, J.Míguez (UC3M)

A DPF for Tracking in a WSN

July 2, 2013 14 / 17

Best ways to work with TINYOS:

As little as possible

It seems that ...

- Motes are not likely to get much more powerful; but
- PandaBoard'-type ARM boards getting popular (also, e.g., RaspberryPI, BeagleBoard).

So, can have the best of both worlds:

- benefits from distributed network
- sufficient processing power
- development (almost) as usual

### Future Work

- Fusion of observations from different kinds of sensors (light, acoustic intensity, RSSI)
- Multi-target tracking
- Deployment in different/larger scenarios, with a larger network



# A Distributed Particle Filter Implementation for Tracking in a Wireless Sensor Network

#### Jesse Read, Katrin Achutegui, Joaquín Míguez

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid.



July 2, 2013