**DISCOVERING NESTED COMMUNITIES** 

NIKOLAJ.TATTI@AALTO.FI · ARISTIDES.GIONIS@AALTO.FI Aalto University, Helsinki Institute of Information Technology



**Aalto University** 

# **DISCOVERING COMMUNITIES**

Given a graph G and a set of vertices S, find a good community around S

Graphs rarely have clear community structure

Discovering communities becomes illdefined problem:

Should we select

a small and tight communityor larger and sparser community?

# FIXING ORDER

Split the problem into 2 subproblems:

1. given a graph with *ordered* nodes, find a sequence of communities respecting the order:

if  $v_j \in V_i$ , then  $v_{j-1} \in V_i$ 

2. find a good order

There are orders corresponding to the optimal solution

# **SELECTING ORDER**

- degree of each node
- personalized page rank
- dense subgraph algorithm

1  $W \leftarrow V$ ;

- 2 while  $W \neq S$  do
- 3  $| w \leftarrow \arg \min_{x \in W} \deg_W(x);$
- 4 delete w from W;

#### WEIGHTING EDGES

We could either

- Introduce a score that balances between size and tightness
- or discover multiple communities

### **NESTED COMMUNITIES**

Given a graph G = (V, E), number of communities K, and a set of nodes S, find a sequence of communities

 $S = V_0 \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_K = V$  such that

V<sub>i</sub> is more dense than V<sub>i+1</sub>
quality score q(V<sub>0</sub>,...,V<sub>K</sub>) is optimized

#### DENSITY

 $E_i = \text{edges of } V_i$ 

replace each non-edge with an edge with a weight of 0 **THEOREM:** Finding optimal communities given the order is a monotonic segmentation problem

# **MONOTONIC SEGMENTATION**

Input:a sequenceofreal-numbers $x_1, \ldots, x_N$  with weights  $m_1, \ldots, m_N$ Output:partition of the sequence into K segments such that

quality score

$$q(C_1, \dots, C_K) = \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j \in C_i} m_j |x_j - \mu_i|^2$$

is minimized

•  $C_i$  has a higher average than  $C_{i+1}$ .

Can be solved by a classic dynamic program

Compute p(v) = personalized pagerank. 3 options:

$$w_n(e) = \frac{p(v)}{\deg(v)} + \frac{p(w)}{\deg(w)}$$
$$w_s(e) = p(v) + p(w)$$
$$w_m(e) = \min(p(v), p(w))$$

### **ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES**

Any subcommunity of  $V_i$  is more dense than any subcommunity of  $V_{i+1}$ 

**THEOREM:** Let *X* and *Y* such that

 $V_{i-1} \subseteq Y \subsetneq V_i \subsetneq X \subseteq V_{i+1}$ 

then

#### $d(E(V_i) \setminus E(Y)) > d(E(X) \setminus E(V_i))$

outer edges  $F_i = E_i \setminus E_{i-1}$ density:

 $d(F_i) = \frac{1}{|F_i|} \sum_{e \in F_i} w(e)$ 

QUALITY SCORE

$$q(V_0, \dots, V_K) = \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{e \in F_i} |w(e) - \mu_i|^2,$$

where  $\mu_i$  is the centroid,  $\mu_i = d(F_i)$ .

quadratic time, linear space

linear time approximations exist

Monotonicity can be enforced with preprocessing using PAV algorithm

### **COMMUNITIES AS SEGMENTS**

Express community detection as segmentation problem by setting

$$x_i = \frac{1}{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} w((v_i, v_j))$$
 and  $m_i = i-1$ 

Then

$$q(V_0,\ldots,V_K) = q(C_1,\ldots,C_K) + \text{ const}$$

ATTEMPT 1: Add dense communities first

1  $W \leftarrow S;$ 2 while  $W \neq V$  do 3  $C \leftarrow$  densest community containing W;4 add C to W;

ATTEMPT 2: Delete sparse communities first

```
1 W \leftarrow V;

2 while W \neq S do

3 C \leftarrow sparsest community in W s.t.

C \cap S = \emptyset;

4 remove C from W;
```

```
Finding C is NP-hard
```

#### **EXPERIMENTS**

Karate (33, 34 as seeds):



					1			
Name	V(G)	E(G)	Time	N	$w_n$	$w_s$	$w_m$	
Adjnoun	112	425	2ms	84	0.90/0.95	0.88/0.95	0.77/0.94	
Dolphins	62	159	1ms	41	0.67/0.80	0.61/0.78	0.57/0.80	
Karate	34	78	1ms	21	0.78/0.91	0.76/0.91	0.60/0.93	
Lesmis	77	254	2ms	37	0.77/0.93	0.84/0.94	0.62/0.94	
Polblogs	1222	16714	84ms	872	0.87/0.96	0.95/0.99	0.57/0.96	
DBLP	703193	2341362	23s	1797	0.87/0.99	0.98/1.00	0.45/0.99	