

Inference of Strategic Behavior based on **Incomplete Observation Data**

Problem Setting

We wish to infer the parameters of the task, preferences or limitations of users when they are performing tasks involving strategic behavior in inverse reinforcement learning (IRL) context.

A limitation with existing methods for IRL is that they make very specific assumptions about the type of observation data: trajectories denoted as $\xi = (s0, a1, s1, ..., aT, sT)$. We extend this setting to arbitrary noise models $\sigma(\xi)$.

Background: In IRL, a RL model is used to explain the strategic behavior of a used in a situation similar to a Markov decision process (S, A, T, R, γ) . The strategic behavior is assumed to follow an optimal policy for the MDP. Given observations of the user's behavior, we wish to infer the parameters of the MDP, such that the optimal policy matches the observed behavior.

Our Contributions

We demonstrate that IRL inference is possible even when the observation noise model is an arbitrary function $\sigma(\xi)$. This extends the state of the art which is only able to deal with few special types of observation noise (missing / probabilistic state observations).

We derive the exact Bayesian likelihood for this problem, but demonstrate that it may be very expensive to evaluate

We propose two approximations: a Monte-Carlo estimate and an ABC estimate, which are faster to evaluate

IRL-SD Problem

Let M be a MDP (S, A, T, R, γ) with parameters θ . Let the true parameters be $\theta^* \in \Theta$ and assume agent behaving according to an optimal policy for M_{θ^*} . Assume the agent has taken paths (ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_N) and we observe summatrices $\Xi_{\sigma} = (\xi_{1\sigma}, \ldots, \xi_{N\sigma})$, where $\xi_{i\sigma} \sim \sigma(\xi_i)$ and σ is a known summary function. The inverse reinforcement *learning problem from summary data (IRL-SD)* is then:

Given (1) set of summaries Ξ_{σ} of an agent demonstrating optimal behavior; (2) summary function σ ; (3) MDP M with θ unknown; (4) bounded space Θ ; and optionally (5) prior $P(\theta)$.

Estimate $\hat{\theta} \in \Theta$ such that simulated behavior from $M_{\hat{\theta}}$ agrees with Ξ_{σ} , or the posterior $P(\theta|\Xi_{\sigma})$.

Exact Likelihood

 $L(\theta|\Xi_{\sigma}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(\xi_{i\sigma}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\xi_i \in \Xi_{an}} P(\xi_{i\sigma}|\xi_i) P(\xi_i|\theta),$ $P(\xi_i|\theta) = P(s_0^i) \prod \pi_{\theta}^*(s_t^i, a_t^i) P(s_{t+1}^i|s_t^i, a_t^i).$

Expensive to evaluate

Monte-Carlo Likelihood

 $\hat{L}(\theta|\Xi_{\sigma}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N_{MC}} \sum_{\xi_n \in \Xi_{MC}} \frac{P(\xi_{i\sigma}|\xi_n) P(\xi_n|\theta)}{P(\xi_n|\theta)}$ $=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{N_{MC}}\sum_{\xi_n\in\Xi_{MC}}P(\xi_{i\sigma}|\xi_n).$

Applicable when we know σ as a distribution

ABC Likelihood

Assume a function for generating summary datasets Ξ_{σ}^{sim} given MDP M, parameters θ , number of episodes N, and summary function σ : RLSUM (M_{θ}, N, σ) . Also assume a discrepancy function δ ,

$$\delta(\Xi^A_{\sigma}, \Xi^B_{\sigma}) \to [0, \infty),$$

which quantifies the dissimilarity between two observation datasets.

By combining RLSUM $(M_{\theta}, |\Xi_{\sigma}|, \sigma)$ with δ , we define

 $d_{\theta} \sim \delta(\text{RLSUM}(M_{\theta}, |\Xi_{\sigma}|, \sigma), \Xi_{\sigma}).$

The distribution of d_{θ} corresponds with the ability of θ to satisfy our requirements for solving the IRL-SD problem. Finally we define an approximate likelihood function,

 $\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon}(\theta|\Xi_{\sigma}) = P(d_{\theta} \le \varepsilon|\theta),$

where the approximation threshold $\varepsilon \in [0, \infty)$.



Applicable when we can evaluate σ at will



Inference

GP surrogate fit using Bayesian optimization

Algorithm 1 Likelihood Estimation for IRL-SD **Input:** $M, \Xi_{\sigma}, \Theta, H, N_{opt}$ **Output:** Likelihood estimate $\overline{L}(\theta)$ $D \leftarrow \emptyset$ for i = 1 to N_{opt} do $\theta_i \leftarrow \arg \max_{\theta} Acq(\theta|D, H)$ $\pi_{\theta_i}^* \leftarrow \operatorname{RL}(M_{\theta_i})$ if Exact then $d_{\theta} \leftarrow \log L(\theta_i | \Xi_{\sigma})$ else $\Xi_{MC} \leftarrow \{ \text{SIM}(M_{\theta_i}, \pi^*_{\theta_i}) \}_{n=1...N_{MC}}$ if Monte-Carlo then $d_{\theta} \leftarrow \log \hat{L}(\theta_i | \Xi_{\sigma})$ else if ABC then $\Xi_{\sigma}^{sim} \leftarrow \{\sigma(\Xi_{MC,n})\}_{n=1...N_{MC}}$ $d_{\theta} \leftarrow \delta(\Xi_{\sigma}^{sim}, \Xi_{\sigma})$ end if end if $D \leftarrow \{D, (\theta_i, d_\theta)\}$ end for if ABC then $\varepsilon \leftarrow \min_{\theta} G_{\mu}(\theta|D,H)$ $\bar{L}(\theta) \leftarrow \Phi(\varepsilon | G_{\mu}(\theta | D, H), G_{s}(\theta | D, H))$ else $\log \bar{L}(\theta) \leftarrow G(\theta|D,H)$ end if



state \rightarrow POMDP model

Full Posterior Inference

Summary

Regarding partial observability in IRL, there now exists formulations for three different situations:

(1) Agent has partial observability of the environment

(2) External observer has partial observability on state level \rightarrow traditional IRL methods can be extended

<u>New:</u> (3) External observer has partial observability on *path* level \rightarrow presented methods for IRL-SD can be used